	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/082,395	DIEHL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BJ Forman	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>BJ Forman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Isaac Angers</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 July 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
BJ FORMAN, PH.O. PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Angers was contacted regarding the status of the case because the office had not received a response to the Office Action of 4 November 2004. Mr. Angers stated that the case was being revived. The examiner stated that the case would not be abandoned based on Applicant's intention to revive. Applicant was contacted again in August, but no response or petition to revive has been filed.

BUTORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER